

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/657,980	GEFFROY, CEDRIC	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Preeti Kumar	1796	

**All Participants:**

(1) Preeti Kumar.

**Status of Application:** Notice of Appeal 4/23/2007

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Kevin McVeigh.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 December 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Kumar contacted the attorney of record, Mr. McVeigh, on 12/17/2007, regarding any filing subsequent to the Notice of Appeal filed 4/23/2007. No response was received from the attorney, and PALM & EDAN do not indicate any response subsequent to the Notice of Appeal to date. /PK/.